



Program Review Report

Due to the OIP&R and Dean by November 15

Part A–Review Panel Evaluation

Program	Coordinator	Date
Wildlife Management	Robert Zaiglin	10/22/13

Name and Title of Review Committee Chair

Cheryl Sanchez, Ed.D.; Dean of Liberal Arts

List the Review Committee Members:

Name	Title
Johnny Guzman	Dean of Applied Sciences
Romelia Aranda	Dean of Workforce Training
Vanessa Uriegas	Instructor
J.J. Suarez	Instructor

1. Evaluation of program’s size and stability (<i>review program dashboard</i>): The enrollment in the program is appropriate for serving the students fully.
2. Evaluation of the labor market information provided by IR: The State of Texas labor market statistics a low need for this field. Nonetheless, SWTJC serves students in a rural area and many will become ranch managers and employed within the private sector within the deer breeding industry.
3. Evaluation of equipment and facilities (<i>e.g. depreciable assets such as classroom space & lab equipment</i>): The facilities have been upgraded and are adequate for the program.
4. Evaluation of resources (<i>e.g. human resources and consumables such as staff, books, & paper goods</i>): The program is adequately staffed and the curriculum is in place.
5. Evaluation of program’s ability to meet the needs of employers (<i>if applicable</i>): Regular communication with employers who employ the students indicates a high level of satisfaction.
6. Evaluation of the Technical Program Outcomes Assessment Report: This is an area that needs attention. See committee recommendations.
7. Evaluation of evidence that the program’s evaluative processes resulted in improvement (<i>review of program’s Unit Action Plan</i>): The overall implementation of the UAP process is satisfactory.
8. Evaluation of Advisory Committee recommendations for program: The program has a strong Advisory Committee in place.
9. Program strengths and weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges: There are a number of positive achievements of the students as well as the staff. These are listed in great detail on the Program Self-Assessment.
10. Professional Development recommendations: None at this time.



Program Review Report

Due to the OIP&R and Dean by November 15

Part B - Review panel recommendations

Recommendations for the program:

Program coordinator's response to recommendations

Reviewed by panel (Dean's initial)

1. The program faculty members need to re-evaluate the interventions used to address the Program Outcomes, 4 and 5. There was a significant decrease in mastery level from 2011-12 to 2012-13.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

Recommendations for the institution or another unit:

Program coordinator's response to recommendations

Reviewed by panel (Dean's initial)

1. The Assessment Office should work with the program to develop more appropriate interventions and assessment tools for the program outcomes.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		

Additional comments/observations/notations from the review panel:



Program Review Report



Program Review Report

Part C – Administration Review and Approval

Program	Coordinator	Review Year

Review Panel Recommendations	Cabinet Response
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	

(Responses: *Approved, Not Approved or Revised*)

Signatures

Dean:

Date:

VP Academic Affairs:

Date:



Program Review Report

Part D – Approved Recommendations

The following recommendations have been approved by the Curriculum Committee and the Administrative Council. They must be included in your Unit Action Plan for the 2011-2012 academic year. A status report of the process of recommendations must be submitted to the Office of Institutional Planning & Research by July 31, 2012.

Program	Coordinator	Review Year

Name and Title of Review Panel Chair

--

Approved Recommendations:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.